

## P3: Hi-fi Prototypes and Heuristic Evaluation

Student names and emails: \_\_\_\_\_

### Overall Impression

5 – Outstanding	4 – Great	3 – Good	2 – Satisfactory	1 – Unsatisfactory

### Format & Structure

Grading sheet provided			/5
Overall appearance (organization, layout and whitespace)			/10
Overall language and writing style (clarity, grammar)			/10

### Components

System			
Addresses major functional areas and design requirements			/15
Depth of interface shown			/5
Breadth of interface shown			/5
Visual appearance			/10
Sensibility of layouts			/10
Demonstration			
Clarity and professionalism			/10
Gave good feel of system?			/20
All members presenting			/10
Adequately answered questions			/10
System write-up			
Design requirements clearly articulated (if there are changes from P1, these are articulated)			/10

Designs are clearly justified			/10
System design/implementation described			/5
Technical functionality and limitations described			/5
<b>Heuristic Analysis</b>			
Description indicates breadth and depth of interface was explored			/10
Major problems detected, described and summarized			/10
Comprehensive list of issues are detected, described along with fixes			/10
Severity ratings are reasonable			/5
Design fixes are clear			/5
Sophistication and quality			/10
<b>TOTAL MARKS</b>			<b>/200</b>

## Overall Grade