Student Name:	Grade:
---------------	--------

A1: Thinking About Design Grading Rubric

Overall Impression (see course site for description)

5 Outstanding	4 Great	3 Good	2 Satisfactory	1 Unsatisfactory

1. Breadth (for each 10 components) – 50%

Check+	Check	Check- (-2.5%)	No Check (-5%)
Idea/interaction is described with great clarity. Rationale for it being a poor/great design is clear and understandable. A reader "viscerally" understands your experience.	Interaction or rational is described so that it is understood. Some rationale is provided.	Either interaction or rationale is unclear. Usually happens if you are describing something long after having experienced it.	Not present.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

2. Principles (for each 5 principles) – 25%

Check+	Check	Check- (-2.5%)	No Check (-5%)
Well described principle with excellent descriptive label, excellently supported by evidence.	Good principle with some support from previous step.	Moderately reasonable label or principle, or poorly supported by breadth component.	Not present.

1	2	3	4	5

3. Artefact (25%)

	Check+	Check	Check- (-1/2)	No Check
description or	Well-described	Description	Description is	Not present
artifact &	artifact and	appears	perhaps partly	
interaction (10%)	interaction		unclear	
principles in	Principles	Principles	Principles are not	Not present
rationale (10%)	meaningfully	referred to	used consistently	
	referred to		with description	
clarity (5%)	Ideas presented	Ideas are present,	Some problems	Steaming
	well	no Pulitzer	with clarity	

Comments: